

AD \_\_\_\_\_

Award Number: DAMD17-99-2-9053

TITLE: Geologic Structure Detection by High Resolution Seismic  
Reflection Methods Near the Custer Hill Landfill

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Donald Steeples

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Kansas Center for  
Research, Incorporated  
Lawrence, Kansas 66044-7552

REPORT DATE: October 2000

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;  
Distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)

2. REPORT DATE  
October 2000

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED  
Final (1 Oct 99 - 30 Sep 00)

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Geologic Structure Detection by High Resolution Seismic Reflection Methods Near the Custer Hill Landfill

5. FUNDING NUMBERS  
DAMD17-99-2-9053

6. AUTHOR(S)

Donald Steeples, Dr.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

University of Kansas Center for Research, Incorporated  
Lawrence, Kansas 66044-7552

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION  
REPORT NUMBER

E-MAIL:

dsteeple@ukans.edu

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

10. SPONSORING / MONITORING  
AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)

A seismic reflection survey was undertaken to detect geologic faults near the Custer Hill landfill at Fort Riley, Kansas. The survey was terminated after initial testing revealed that shallow seismic reflection was not an appropriate technique for the site.

14. SUBJECT TERMS Shallow seismic reflection surveying

15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION  
OF REPORT

Unclassified

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION  
OF THIS PAGE

Unclassified

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION  
OF ABSTRACT

Unclassified

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Unlimited

## Table of Contents

Cover .....	1
SF 298 .....	2
Introduction .....	N/A
Body .....	4
Key Research Accomplishments .....	N/A
Reportable Outcomes.....	5-12
Conclusions .....	--
References .....	--
Appendices .....	--

# The University of Kansas

Don W. Steeples  
Department of Geology

September 13, 2000

Commander  
Directorate of Environment and Safety  
ATTN: AFZN-ES Bldg. 407  
Fort Riley, Kansas 66442-6016

ATTENTION OF Ms. Janet Wade:

This is a final technical report for our contract #DAMD17-99-2-9053 with your office. As per my discussions with Mr. Mike Green of your office last autumn and also a couple of weeks ago, our seismic reflection efforts at Custer Hill landfill failed. We tried all of the filter capabilities in our arsenal of processing software, including frequency-wavenumber filtering and frequency-amplitude filtering.

Attached are some representative seismograms from the testing that was done. We used a sledgehammer, a 30.06 rifle, and an 8-gauge Betsy Seisgun as seismic sources. We performed experiments at Well CH91-10 and Well CH99-11, but results were negative at both locations even though we used all of the experimental parameters that we could think of to try.

The final invoice has been sent, and the total cost was something less than \$4,000, a small fraction of the \$18,542 that was authorized.

I am sorry that this project did not provide the answers that you need to help solve the problems at the Custer Hill landfill. If you have questions, let me know.

Sincerely,




Don W. Steeples  
McGee Distinguished Professor of Geophysics  
The University of Kansas

Attachments: (8)




# Custer Hill Landfill Well Locations



**Legend**  
▲ Wells

**Scale**



Background is 1992 Digital Orthophotography.

Figure 1. Map of Custer Hill locations of wells. Seismic data were collected near wells CH91-10 and CH99-11.




Figure 2. Seismic field records from well CH91-10. Source was a 30.06 rifle. Shots were progressively moved away from the geophones to provide a view of the total wavefield to a distance of 73 meters from the shotpoint. Geophone interval was 0.25 meter and digital sampling interval was 0.25 msec.






Figure 3. Seismic field records from well CH91-10. Source was a commercially available 8-gauge Betsy Seisgun. Shots were progressively moved away from the geophones to provide a view of the total wavefield to a distance of 73 meters from the shotpoint. Geophone interval was 0.25 meter and digital sampling interval was 0.25 msec.





Figure 4. Expected seismic reflection times based on drill evidence are plotted on the data from Figure 2. No strong hints of reflections exist.


## Shot Record No. 1 at Well No. CH99-11



**Figure 5.** Field file from well CH99-11. Source distance to nearest geophone is 4 meters distance from farthest geophone is 21 meters. Source was a 30.06 rifle fired in a 0.3 meter deep hole.




## Shot Record No. 2 at Well No. CH99-11



**Figure 6.** Field file from well CH99-11. Source distance to nearest geophone is 21.25 meters and distance from farthest geophone is 38 meters. Source was a 30.06 rifle fired in a 0.3 meter deep hole.

## Shot Record No. 3 at Well No. CH99-11



**Figure 7.** Field file from well CH99-11. Source distance to nearest geophone is 38.25 meters and distance from farthest geophone is 55 meters. Source was a 30.06 rifle fired in a 0.3 meter deep hole.

Oct 14<sup>th</sup>

DATE: Sept 26-27, 99	FILE #	Source Loc	1ST Rec Loc	LAST Rec Loc	COMMENTS
OBSERVER <del>Schweissner</del>	7000	19m	0m	17.75m	Betsy 256ms
PROJECT <del>DOE</del> Walkaways	7001	19m			change 512ms. 400
<del>DOE grant, new site tests</del>	7002	37m			
LOCATION Great Bend, Ks.	7003	55m			
FT. Riley	7004	73m			
Well CH91-10	7005	90m	moved from 41 because of ditch		
SOURCE: 22 rifle shorts	7006	90m			30.06
Interval: 0.1 meter	7007	73m			30.06
stack: no 18m walkaway	7008	55m			
	7009	37m			
SEISMOGRAPH Geometrics	7010	19m			
Active channels <del>48</del> 72					
Filters out					
Sample interval 0.25 msec					
Other 256 ms					
GEOPHONES: Mark Prod					
Model L-40A freq 100 Hz					
Interval 0.10 meter					
Spikes 5.5 in					
Other					
WEATHER CONDITIONS					
windy ~15 mph					
SOIL CONDITIONS					
dry					
DATA DESCRIPTION					
Fair - poor					

Map/ Geometry Diagram

